

*Preliminary Uniform Reporting
System (URS) Data on State
Mental Health Agency
Services: Year 2 Results*

Ted Lutterman

NASMHPD Research Institute

Ted.lutterman@nri-inc.org

703-739-9333 ext.121

URS Tables: Who? Receives What? With what Effect? And At what Cost?

DRAFT

URS Tables focus on key concerns addressed by CMHS, the States, and MHSIP:

- WHO receives state mental health agency services? The number of persons served and their characteristics
- WHAT do they receive? What types of services, what Evidence-based practices?
- What EFFECT? Do they have jobs? Where do they Live? How do Consumers Evaluate the care they receive?
- What COST? What do states spend, where do they spend it? And how do they finance it?

URS Table and The President's New Freedom Commission Goals

DRAFT

- 2.2 Involve Consumers and families fully in orienting the mental health system toward recovery.

- 3.1 Improve access to quality care that is culturally competent

- 3.2 Improve access to quality care in rural and geographically remote areas.

- 4.3 Screen for co-occurring mental health and substance abuse disorders and link with integrated treatment strategies

- 5.2 Advance evidence-based practices

- 6.1 Use health technology and tele-health to improve access and coordination of mental health care, especially for Americans in remote areas or in underserved areas or in underserved populations.

Year 2 URS/DIG Accomplishments

DRAFT

- Refined Basic Table Definitions and Guidelines
- Developed Operational Definitions for Developmental Tables for Testing/Reporting
- Developed Output Reports Using URS Data
- Received URS Year 2 Data from 50 State and Territorial Mental Health Agencies


Performance Measures being Measured by SMHAs

DRAFT


Annual Reporting through the Federal Center for Mental Health Services “Uniform Reporting System” (URS)

1. Consumer and Family Evaluation of Services:
 - Using MHSIP Survey for Adults and Youth Services Survey for Families of Children
2. Numbers of persons served by SMHAs
3. Implementation of Evidence-Based Practices
4. Expenditures of SMHAs for mental health
5. Employment Status of Persons Served
6. Living Situation of Persons Served
7. Readmission Rates to state psychiatric hospitals and other inpatient psychiatric beds
8. Criminal Justice and Juvenile Justice Contacts

Number of States Completing 2003 URS Tables:




DRAFT



Year 2 Reporting of URS Developmental Tables

DRAFT



Mental Health Community Services Block Grant: CMHS Uniform Reporting System: 2003 Performance Data

The Mental Health Community Services Block Grant (MHBG) funds State and Territorial Mental Health Agencies (SMHAs) to develop and implement comprehensive plans for community-based mental health services for adults with serious mental illnesses (SMI) and c

DRAFT

Fiscal Year	MH Block Grant Expenditures	% of Total	SMHA Community MH Expenditures	Persons Served
2002	\$343,275,698	2.2%	\$15,473,575,839	5,124,437

MHBG Program Data *

* According to information provided by the states, for those clients for whom data were available*

Mental Health Clients by Service Settings		Client Utilization Rate by Setting	
5,124,437	Total Clients Served by SMHA System	18.63	Total Utilization rate per 1,000 population
4,052,210	Clients Served in Community Settings	15.61	Community Utilization rate per 1,000 population
170,414	Clients Served in State Hospitals	0.64	Hospital Utilization rate per 1,000 population

Mental Health Block Grant Client Characteristics			
Gender		Age:	
51%	of clients were Female	0.8%	Age 0 to 3
48%	were Male	14.1%	Age 4 to12
		12.3%	Age 13 to 17
Race/Ethnicity:		5.7%	Age 18 to 20
62.3%	of clients were White	61.6%	Age 21 to 64
20.4%	were Black or African American	2.9%	Age 65 to 74
1.1%	were Asian	2.3%	Age 75 and over
4.9%	were Hispanic or Latino	0.4%	Not Available
11.3%	were Other (includes race not available)		

Many of the clients served by SMHAs were unemployed or not in the labor force and received their services with Medicaid Support			
Employment Status:		Medicaid Funding Status of Consumers	
22%	Percent Employed	36%	Medicaid Only
25%	Percent Not In Labor Force	48%	SMHA Funds Only (Non-Medicaid)
54%	Percent Unemployed	15%	Both Medicaid and Other Funds

While most clients served by SMHAs live in Private Residences, many are in Institutional, Residential, or Homeless Settings			
75.9%	Private Residence	5.3%	Institutional Setting
1.9%	Foster Home	3.1%	Jail/Correctional Facility
5.0%	Residential Care	3.8%	Homeless or Shelter

Consumer Perceptions of the Care They Received Were Generally High			
Adult Consumers Positives about:		Families of Children Positives about:	
85%	Access to Services	81%	Access to Services
86%	Quality/Appropriateness of Services	78%	Quality/Appropriateness of Services
73%	Outcomes from Services	60%	Outcomes from Services
78%	Participation in Treatment Planning	80%	Participation in Treatment Planning
88%	Overall Satisfaction with Care	78%	Cultural Sensitivity of Providers

What Services Were Provided by SMHAs:			
100%	of SMHAs offer Intake/ Screening	93%	Individual Therapy
100%	offer Diagnostic Evaluation	93%	Family/Couple Therapy
100%	offer Emergency	93%	Medication Therapy
100%	offer Case Management	91%	Psychiatric Rehabilitation

What "Evidence-Based Practices" Were Being Provided to Adults with Serious Mental Illnesses?			
3.2%	of Adults with SMI received Supported Housing	3.6%	received Family Psychoeducation
3.3%	received Assertive Community Treatment	18.2%	received Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment (MH/SA)


Number of Persons Served by SMHAs: 2003 URS

DRAFT

- 5,130,020 Consumers Were Served by the States (48 States and 2 Territories Reporting)
- 1.9 percent of the state population received mental health services from the SMHA System
- SMHAs controlled expenditures of over \$24 Billion for Mental Health Services


Age Distribution of Persons Served by SMHAs: 2003

DRAFT




Racial Distribution of Persons Served by SMHAs: 2003

DRAFT



Racial Distribution of Persons Served by SMHAs, 2003: Rate per 1,000 Population in Racial Group



Change in Number of Persons Served

DRAFT

- FY 2002 to Preliminary FY 2003 Data:
 - Increase of 400,000 persons receiving SMHA Mental Health Services across the nation
 - Increase may be partly due to improved state reporting systems
 - 13 SMHAs reported serving fewer persons in FY 2003 than in FY 2002
 - 34 SMHAs reported serving more persons in FY 2003 than in FY 2002


Persons Served in Community MH Settings who were Homeless: 2003

DRAFT

- 44 States and 1 Territory were able to report on 94,261 persons served who were homeless

- 3.2% of all persons served in community mental health programs were homeless
 - Males are more likely to be homeless (3.9%) than Females (2.5%)

Medicaid Payment Status of Persons Served by SMHAs: 2003




DRAFT

Employment Status of Persons Served by SMHAs: 2003

For persons with known employment status

DRAFT




* For 966,652 persons the Employment Status is not available.

Employment: 2003

DRAFT


- MH Consumers Served by SMHAs average 23% competitive employment
 - 40 States Reported data in URS Year 2
 - Median was 21.6%
 - Minimum was 9.2%
 - Maximum was 46%

*Adult Consumer Evaluation of Care MHSIP Consumer Survey: 2003 **DRAFT***



Adult Consumer Survey Results by Region, URS Year 2


DRAFT



Implementation of Evidence-Based Practices by SMHAs


DRAFT

Number of States Implementing MH EBPs: FY2003




States Able to Report on the URS EBP Measures: 2003

DRAFT




Readmission Rates 30 & 180 Days by Forensic /Non-Forensic: 2003



Readmission Rates 30 & 180 Days by Child /Adult: 2003


DRAFT




Number of Admissions During the Year: 2003

DRAFT

By Type



e





n= 2,614,801

Number of Admissions During the Year: 2003

DRAFT

n= 2,614,801




* Residential Treatment Centers only admit children


State Hospital Length of Stay: 2003

DRAFT

Length of Stay for Children




Length of Stay for Adults




Living Situation by Setting: 2003

For persons with known living situations

DRAFT




Living Situation by Child /Adult & Setting: 2003






For persons with known living situations

DRAFT



Living Situation is not available for 29.5% of the children and for 30.9% of the adults.

Finalize URS Tables

-  Fine Tuning of Basic Tables
-  Assess Reporting Experiences of states on Developmental Tables to Revise and Finalize.
-  Focus on Unresolved Issues
 - Untreated Prevalence (unmet need) Table 13
 - Outcomes (Tables 19a-d)
 - EBP Tables Refinement (Tables 16-18)
 - Co-Occurring Mental Health & Substance Abuse

URS Year 3 Activities (Continued)

- Provide States and CMHS with Output Tables showing state, regional, and national averages *DRAFT*
 - Early benchmarking of URS data
 - Remember many states are still implementing URS Table reporting guidelines and system differences
- Technical Assistance Workshop on How to Use URS Data for Planning
 - August 12-13, 2004
 - Limited Individual State TA Resources Remain

For Additional Information

● Contact:

Ted Lutterman

NASMHPD Research Institute, Inc.

66 Canal Center Plaza, Suite 302

Alexandria, VA 22314

703-739-9333 ext.121

703-548-9517 (Fax)

ted.lutterman@nri-inc.org